1	
2	
3	
4	REGION 2 REMEDIAL ACTION CONTRACT (RAC2)
5	PRE-SOLICITATION CONFERENCE
6	
7	290 Broadway
8	30th Floor New York, New York
9	Friday, May 18, 2007 9:00 a.m.
10	
11	TRANSCRI PT
12	
13	Presenters:
14	Benita Jackson, Contracting Officer
15	Rayna Brown, Contract Specialist Shaheer Alvi
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported by: James W. Johnson, RPR
24	Global Deposition Services
25	

	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt
2	MS. JACKSON: Okay, good morning.
3	Welcome, everyone, to New York, and thank you
4	all for coming out this morning. I apologize
5	for all the confusion we had this morning.
6	The room wasn't set up right.
7	We were planning on having microphones
8	to use across the room. They're not here, so
9	when speaking this morning please remember to
10	try to speak louder. We have a reporter
11	here I mean, a transcriber. He's going to
12	be typing everything up for us, so
13	Of course, you know this is the
14	preproposal conference for the Remedial Action
15	Contracts here at Region 2, and my name is
16	Benita Jackson. I'm the placement contracting
17	officer for the four contracts that we'll be
18	doing here, so I'd like to make quick
19	introductions. Along with me this morning I
20	have our team leader, Sallie McElrath.
21	MS. McELRATH: Good morning.
22	MS. JACKSON: And the contract
23	specialist on this procurement will be Rayna
24	Brown, and also from the Regional Office we
25	have Shaheer Alvi. He's the, he's over the

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	program office, and we also have Keith
3	Moncino he will be the project officer
4	and Fernando Risotto. He's also the alternate

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt project officer.

Also we have Debbie Butler. She's one of the contracting officers. We're not sure yet which contract she will be working on, and we also have Helen Eng, who is also a project officer. Is Ted here? Oh, he's hiding behind the podium right there. Ted, he's also from the contracting side. And John Bachman. I'm sorry. They're both right there in the corner.

Can everyone hear me okay? Am I speaking too loudly or softly? We'll take care of a couple of administrative items right now. As you all know, there are signup sheets over there.

If you didn't sign in yet, please do that before you leave today, and if there's any reason that you do not want your attendance known today, for any reason, please do not sign on the sheet, because we will be posting the list online.

Presolicitation Conference

So, you know, just to give you the possibility of teaming purposes. And also, we have index cards over there, so if you have questions, the index card is broken up into categories. Please, if you have questions, write them down on that card.

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt 8 We will pick those cards up before we 9 break for lunch. We will break, try to answer your questions, and we will reconvene 10 11 afterwards to try to give you answers, so with 12 all of the contracting and program officers 13 that we have here, hopefully we'll be able to 14 get all your questions answered. 15 And if there's any that we can, you 16 know, that may have additional research, we 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

10

know, that may have additional research, we will post them online at a later date, so all of the questions and answers will be posted online. If we run out of cards, we have additional cards here that are blank. Just make sure you also put your category on the card.

So I think she's had over there two questions per card, but we have additional here if we run out. And also, if you're not

5

Presolicitation Conference 1 2 sure, the restrooms are right outside this 3 door. Just go down the hall. You'll see a 4 Look to your left. si gn. 5 And let's see, what else? We do not 6 have any handouts today. You know, we are the 7 EPA, so we're trying to save our trees, but everything will be posted online, and the 8 transcriber is collecting everything today, so 9

that will probably be available in about

Pre Solicitation Conference. txt three, maybe three to four weeks. We will post everything from this meeting online as well. Okay, that's it for the administrative items. Take a quick look at the agenda. I've finished the agenda Shaheer is going to come up and give you guys a guick overview of

the remedial program here in Region 2.

We will briefly go over the acquisition strategy, the structure of the contracts, and then Rayna will come up and give you an overview of the Brooks Act selection process, and we'll also go over the milestones and the

24 questions that we've already received.

If you sent questions earlier to Rayna

Presolicitation Conference
or myself, or I think it was Rodney Neely, we
have those questions and answers as well.
Maybe everyone will take this opportunity to
turn off your phones.

And so we will answer those questions before we break, and then we will have a quick lunch, like I said earlier. We will go over the questions that you had this morning, and then we will come back and try to answer as best as possible, and that will be it.

Hopefully we will, you know, hopefully our draft RFP answered everything for you and

Pre Solicitation Conference. txt 14 you do not have that many questions, but I 15 know that's not going to happen. Okay, so now 16 Shaheer, he's going to come up and give you 17 guys an overview of some of their projects. MR. ALVI: My name is Shaheer Alvi, and 18 I am from the Superfund program side, and I 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

will give you just an idea of -- can you hear me all right? Okay.

I'm just going to give you an overview of the remedial program. I just want to know how many of you were here yesterday. I can see like half of you, and Bill McCabe, our

7

Presolicitation Conference deputy division director, he gave a very detailed presentation of the remedial, the Region 2 remedial program, but his perspective was very different.

He was talking from the site cleanup point of view, what kind of sites we have and how did we go about cleaning them up. going to present this, the, some of the same slides that he presented, but my overview is very different.

I'm talking from the contracting point of view then, how did we put together the teams, how did we go about using the RACs contracts and the Army Corps of Engineers contracts to do the site cleanup, which is the Pre Solicitation Conference. txt ultimate goal of this program.

 So this slide shows the Region 2 boundaries. It goes all the way from the US Virgin Islands in the Caribbean to the Canadian border, and it goes to Puerto Rico, New York State, New Jersey, and this slide just shows the magnitude of the NPL sites in New York State.

We have 110 total sites, and 23 of them

Presolicitation Conference
have been deleted, and so we have deleted
21 percent of the sites, and we are doing
construction on 22 percent, and the
construction has been completed on 42 percent
of the sites.

The proposed NPL sites have one percent under study -- that means RI/FS -- both by us and the responsible party and the State, nine percent, and the design under way are three percent, and for one reason or another we have not yet started that.

This will give you an idea of the magnitude of the work that we have in New York State. Most of the sites in New York State are done by the responsible parties, as you will see later on, and about 25 to 30 percent are done by the federal lead, by EPA, both using the RACs contracts and the Army Corps,

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt and we have 140 NPL sites in New Jersey.

 Twenty-eight percent are under construction, 16 percent deleted. We completed the construction on 34 percent, and in design are seven percent, and the proposed NPL, as Bill pointed out yesterday, we haven't

Presolicitation Conference

put many sites in the last two or three years,

but we still have a whole bunch of NPL sites

that are going to go on the list whenever they

open it up.

And that's Puerto Rico. We have only a few sites, as you can see, in Puerto Rico, but most of them are responsible party leads, and -- but we have, we are doing these studies on a number of sites using our own RACs contracts, and you can see the distribution.

The main purpose of these slides is just to give you the idea of the magnitude of work that we do around here, and then I'll give you a few slides of the sites that we have done. The Hudson River is the most notorious site in Region 2.

It represented more detail, because we were intimately involved in writing the record of decision, which was done in 2002, but here I want to present the contracting complications that we had.

23	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt This figure the study began on this
24	site in 1990. At that time we had the
25	alternative remedial contracting strategy

Presolicitation Conference contracts, six of them, and we practically devoted one whole contract, which was 145,000 labor hours, on this site, and we spent more than \$10 million doing this study, and then that contract ran out and we went to the Army Corps of Engineers.

They procured the same contractor. We spent another \$15 million doing this. It was a very complicated thing. Once the record of decision was signed in 2002, and GE, they have very deep pockets, so we had to show to them that, hey, if you do not start the design, do not implement the record of decision, we have the means to do it.

So we put together three Army Corps of Engineers contractors, Malcolm Pirnie and at that time it was TAMS and Ecology and Environment, just to get ready for the design in case GE backs out, but they came through, but then we are still using those three contractors to do various segments of the site, like the design oversight and public relations support and a whole bunch of other things.

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	The next one gives you just the project
3	facts that, I mean, they are relevant in the
4	sense that the site is still very active. The
5	responsible party, GE, is beginning the
6	desi gn.
7	They have completed the design, and, as
8	Bill stated yesterday, they're going to go
9	under construction, and we are using a
10	combination of the contractors as well as the
11	Army Corps of Engineers resources to oversee
12	what the GE people will be doing.
13	This is another site. I'm just showing
14	you the cleanup of the Bridgeport, which is
15	one of the most notorious sites, because it
16	got on the cover of National Geographic
17	Magazine in 1983, and I don't have the
18	original slide, which Bill showed yesterday,
19	but this is the cleanup.
20	And the reason I'm showing this, if
21	you'll go to the next one, because here we
22	have spent and we used the actually, back
23	then CDM did the design, initial design, and
24	then we brought in the Army Corps.
25	They did the design, and at that time

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt

Presolicitation Conference
Foster Wheeler did the remedial action on it
under the Corps contract, but then the second
phase of the cleanup began, which was the
groundwater cleanup, and we used one of the
RACs contractors from another region, CH2M
HILL, to continue on this study, because they
had a lot of experience. I just want to give
you an idea of how we go about accessing the
contractors.
This is the federal Crossets site which

This is the federal Creosote site, which is one of the huge sites, and we will end up like spending \$200 million. Here also the RI/FS was done by CDM under the RACs contract, and then we brought in the Army Corps of Engineers and they did the design using the CDM again, and then the remedial action is underway, which is being done by the Army Corps of Engineers.

This is another shot of that, because there's a lot of residential cleanup here, and that gives the project facts. This is another very interesting site, the Passaic River, because it might become another Hudson River in terms of the magnitude and the cleanup

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	challenges we have.

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt rivers which are coming in here, Hudson and Raritan and Passaic, and the Newark Basin's involved, and there are all kinds of exotic contaminants, and there are -- unlike the Hudson River, the Hudson River has only one responsible party, GE, and one contaminant, here we have, so far we have identified like 20 or 30 different contaminants and at least 60 responsible parties, and there are stated instances involved in it who have a share of the Army Corps of Engineers. The WARDA program is involved in there.

2
 3

This gives you some of the project facts. This is 17 miles tidal, the Dundee Dam and Newark Bay, and it's a combination of things which are happening here, and that gives the early action, what we propose to do. This site is in the very initial stages, and we brought in the Army Corps of Engineers contractor here.

And we chose Malcolm Pirnie for this site through the Army Corps of Engineers,

Presolicitation Conference
because they were also working for the US Army
Corps of Engineers for the WARDA program and
not the NJDEP, but they are also contractors,
so it was a logical thing, because they have
so much knowledge that we chose them to do the Page 12

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt

work, but there is a lot of future potential,
but we are going to use both the Army Corps as

well as the RACs contract to provide the
support, and we go back and forth between the

Army Corps contractors and our own, depending
on what we are looking for, who has the
expertise, who has the resources.

So that is a general overview of the

kind of sites we are doing here in Region 2, and there are much more. I did not intend to go into too much detail, because Bill presented the same thing in very detail yesterday, the kind of work that we do around here.

We have a program of like \$120 million a year, which is, which we spend on remedial planning, design and construction in this region, and the other share in Region 2 is roughly between 30 and 40 percent of the

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	national budget.
3	So it is a huge program, and it's not
4	going to end, because we are finding new sites
5	and we have not finished the sites that we
6	have. This pie chart gives you an idea of the
7	RAC work activity.
8	We have two RACs contracts at this time,
9	CDM and Tetra Tech, which are the most full

Page 13

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt and open large contracts that we procured in 1998, and they will be expiring next year, and this gives you an idea about what kind of work has been done.

This was one of the questions that we received, and this is a response to that. We have done 50 RI/FS, five remedial design, 11 remedial actions, four long-term response actions, 23 RI/FS oversight of the responsible parties, 10 remedial design oversight of responsible party, 17 remedial action oversight, and 13 other assignments, which may include the Brownfields, technical support, risk assessment, Homeland Security, and I think site assessment we have done here.

So that is the total magnitude, and I

Presolicitation Conference
believe Donna Vizian mentioned that we have
utilized these contracts to the extent of
about close to \$130 million, so this gives you
an idea of what kind of work you should expect
in the future.

And then the next two or three slides, just to give you an idea that besides the RI/FS, which is the main thing the RACs contractors do for us, we usually do EPA, we use the EPA contractors, whether they are our own or we go to other Regions to access the Page 14

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt

EPA contract, to do the remedial investigation and feasibility study and risk assessment on those things, because we want to have direct relationships and we are the regulatory agency and we have to provide the direct guidance to the contractors, except in certain circumstances that we have used the Army Corps.

And one example was the Hudson River and the other one is the Passaic, where we are using their contractors for the RI/FS work, also where there are special circumstances, as I mentioned earlier, and besides that, usually

Presolicitation Conference

we use the Army Corps and their contractors

for the remedial design and the remedial

action, the main reason being that the Army

Corps has the resources and the expertise and

the experience of more than 200 years to do

all these kind of design and construction

projects, and a decision was made back in the

early '80s that EPA is not going to build up

their own resources in terms of the design and

construction expertise.

We would rather use the Army Corps to do

We would rather use the Army Corps to do
those kind of projects, and that's the reason
you will have noticed that most of our, in
Region 2 the design and the construction
Page 15

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt projects have gone to the Army Corps, but we have, as I have pointed out earlier, there were a number of remedial actions that were done by our own contractors.

And the main criteria that we have used, if the project is unique, it is small, it is a quick turnaround that we can do, our contractors can do it quicker, faster and cheaper, then we would use them.

Otherwise we go to Army Corps, and these

Presolicitation Conference
are some of the examples of the remedial
design and construction projects that we have
used the RACs contractor to do. One of them
is SMS Instruments on Long Island, and this
was done by CDM.

The earlier was the unfinished one, and this is the finished product, on the SMS they created, and the next page will show you -- these are the project facts for this one, designed and constructed a 90 gph pump and treat system to address VOC contaminated groundwater and an SVE system to treat VOC soil contamination and treatment process, includes air stripping and GAC vapor phase treatment.

Treated water is discharged to an existing, and they have operated the system 24 Page 16

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt hours per day, seven days per week.

20 This was one of the projects which has
21 gone from design to construction and then to
22 the LTRA, long-term response action. CDM did
23 all that, because we can operate, when the
24 remedy is the long-term response action -- I'm
25 sorry -- when the long-term response action

Presolicitation Conference
remedy is the groundwater pump and treat, EPA
can spend the fund money to operate the system
for 10 years and then hand it over to the
State. Has it been handed over?

Okay, so we, CDM operated the system for us for 10 years, and then we handed it over to the State. The remedial action cost alone was \$1.1 million, and then there was additional cost for the LTRA for 10 years, but the point is that the CDM was engaged, and they did the design and the construction and the LTRA under -- it began in the ARCS contract, and then it went into the RACs, and we finished it very successfully.

This is another example, and here the reason -- the Tutu Well Field is located in the Virgin Islands, and CDM very much wanted to go down there, and we had the Army Corps -- first of all I'll show you the next slide.

You can see the size of the project is not big Page 17

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt 22 enough. 23 It's only two and a half million 24 dollars, and the Army Corps did not have any

Presolicitation Conference

Rico. They have their own unique way of doing business, so there was no reason for us to engage the Army Corps.

presence in the Virgin Islands and Puerto

We relied on CDM, and they took the risk for us. They went down there and they completed this project for us, both the design and the construction, and I believe you are still doing the LTRA. Is it, the LTRA is going to go on for a few years? Yeah, until your contract expires.

So these are the two examples that I picked, but there are, as I indicated, there are 11, a total of 11 between the two contracts, and we have used the -- and that is the reason we have a chunk of money for, in the subpool to do the remedial actions.

As you know very well, this is a Brooks Act procurement, so the prime contractor cannot do the construction themselves. They have to go and hire subcontractors to do that, and they provide the construction management and administrative services for us as a representative of EPA.

Page 18

Presolicitation Conference
one, because it's another question that we
received, whether there would be crossover
between the Regions for the use of these, the
future RACs, and I just want to show you, this
was one of the glaring examples of the
cooperation and the cost-effective utilization
of department resources we have here.

This was, the New Hampshire plating project was initiated and pretty much completed. This is another shot. This gives you the magnitude of this project. It was initiated by Tetra Tech, with Novus as their sub, and they spent close to \$11.5 million doing that, and then they ran out of their performance period, so Region 1 came to us.

And we have a contract with Tetra Tech, and Tetra Tech was very accommodating in setting up the project the way Region 1 wanted it, and they are finishing it up for Region 1 under our contract, and Keith Moncino is the project officer for that.

The cleanup -- I don't know when this shot was taken, but this is the cleanup of a picture of the New Hampshire. These are some

Presolicitation Conference
of the project facts. We have only given the
\$3 million that they had accessed under the
Tetra Tech Region 2 contracts, and, as I had
mentioned earlier, they already spent like
\$11.5 million under the Region 1 contract, and
it has metals contaminated soils, 95,000 tons
of soil treated by chemical fixation, 6.4-acre
vegetated soil cover, rip-rap, and natural
attenuation was done.

The unique thing about this kind of crossover is that the contracting officer and the project officers for the contract are here in Region 2, and the remedial project manager or the assignment manager is in Region 1, and the resources are located in Region 1 which are being utilized in a continuous way.

So that is a very important thing for us, because we do not want to import or export the resources, because that is very expensive for us, and that is the reason we go to -- and we have done here in this region, we have done a lot of crossover, going to the other Regions.

For example, we needed the CH2M HILL, so

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt we went to Region 6, Region 5, Region 7 to
access their contracts, and similarly
Region 8, which is in Denver, they were using
\ensuremath{CDM} and they needed our support from our RACs
to CDM contracts.

We provided them access, and they are doing like seven or eight projects, so there is all this crossover. That's why we emphasize that your resources should be diversified, not only diversified, but, you know, they're very flexible, and you can provide the services, the expertise and the resources wherever they are needed, so if we need the resources in Region 2 we've got to have them here.

That is pretty much that, and then we also received a question regarding how we are going to distribute the future work. All I can tell you is that this is the matrix that we have used in the past to distribute the work on an individual basis between our existing ARCS contracts, and we used to have six contracts from 1988 to 1998, for 10 years, and this is the matrix that we used to assign

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	the work, and then we had only two contracts
3	Still we used the same thing, and you
4	can see the most important, number one

5	criterion is the contractor performance. You
6	can come in here and you can win a contract,
7	but if you do not perform, then you don't have
8	much chance of getting the future work.
9	So we are procuring four contracts that
10	Rayna and Benita are going to talk more about
11	that. Two of them are full-service,
12	\$100 million contracts, and one of them is
13	Service Disabled Veteran Owned. Full-service,
14	that means the full statement of work will be
15	performed by the contractor, and one is 8(a)
16	set-aside. That is also \$20 million. So
17	that's about it.
18	That's all I have to say, and if there
19	are any questions I'll take them.
20	MS. McELRATH: We'll have them write
21	them down and then come back and answer them
22	later.
23	MR. ALVI: Thank you very much.
24	(Appl ause.)
25	MS. JACKSON: Okay, I noticed that we

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	still had some late entries, so just remember
3	to sign in today before you leave, and we have
4	index cards over there on the table so you can
5	write down questions, and we will answer them
6	this afternoon.
7	Okay, a quick overview of the

8	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt acquisition strategy. Of course this will be
9	a cost plus fixed fee contract, and one of the
10	things that the costs is that we just really
11	want you to focus on, you know, and this
12	statement here came directly out of the
13	statement of work.
14	Please note that there will be no
15	government furnished property issued on this
16	contract, so the contractors shall furnish the
17	personnel, services, materials, equipment,

knowledge and expertise to successfully

complete the tasks required under this

20 contract, so we just wanted to point that out

21 to make sure you guys understand that.

18

19

2223

24

25

Okay, so as Shaheer just went over, there will be four contracts awarded under this procurement. We will have two full and open. Each will be worth 100 million apiece,

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	and we will have one 8(a) and one Service
3	Disabled Vet, each worth \$20 million, and if
4	you attended the Small Business Forum
5	yesterday you're aware of the, I know they
6	went over the socioeconomic goals that EPA has
7	set for themselves, so this mainly is the
8	reason why the region decided to issue one
9	8(a) and one Service Disabled Vet.
10	They want to support their socioeconomic

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt goals, and this also will help to achieve technical excellence and encourage cost efficiencies, and I think in the past they may have come across some conflict of interest with the two contractors that they were using, so this, having four hopefully will eliminate any conflict of interest occurring.

Of course, you know the NAICS code is 562910 for the direct contracts, for the RACs contracts. The Small Business size will be 500 employees or less. As I just stated earlier, the contract capacity is for the four different contracts, the two full and open one 8(a) and one set-aside.

For the periods of performance, for the

Presolicitation Conference
two full and open it will be a five-year base
period. We will also have a one option
five-year base period.

For the, since this is the first the time that Region 2, they've used the Small Businesses, we're going to use this sort of like a pilot program, so we're going to have only a five-year base period for the two set-asides, and, as Shaheer said earlier, you know, if you perform well, hopefully at the end of that five-year period they will want to recompete the Small Businesses, and hopefully

14	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt the end result will be a larger contract.
15	Maybe, maybe you'll be willing to go
16	with the five-year and the five-year base I
17	mean, the option period.
18	All of the statements of work are
19	identical for the four contracts, and I think
20	some of the regions are using task scores, but
21	we will be using work assignments on this on
22	the four contracts.
23	Basically with the work assignment it
24	will just identify the area that the work is

needed on, and once we receive the statement

25

28

1 Presolicitation Conference 2 of work from a project officer we usually send 3 that out to the contractor and to request a 4 work plan along with your costs for that 5 particular assignment. And also you will be required to issue 6 7 or submit a conflicts of interest pertaining to that particular work assignment. 8 Shaheer 9 covered this slide pretty well in his 10 presentation. It just talks about the different work areas, the fund-lead, site 11 specific, enforcement support and other 12 13 technical assistance. 14 This slide just basically is some of the main attachments that will be required. 15 We 16 will have a statement of work. You will

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt also -- which is already posted on our web site, and the task inventory is also listed, and the work breakdown structure is also as well.

 The reports of work, they're mainly the monthly progress reports, you know, the work that you've performed, and so it's sort of like it's a combination of the work breakdown structure and the task inventory structure, so

Presolicitation Conference
 that will be part of the contract.

Okay, the fun part. The term form work assignments. With the term form basically, you know, there's a level of effort.

Work assignments, we will negotiate the beginning of, you know, how many level of efforts that will be required for that assignment, and we'll encourage that, you know, you just put forth your best efforts, make sure that you try to get the work completed within that period of performance, and if our project officer decides that, okay, they really tried, but they're going to need some additional time or additional hours, then a new work assignment or additional LOEs will would be issued, but with the completion form it's totally different.

At the beginning we will negotiate a

20	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt ceiling for, if the requirement is already
21	known, we know for sure that it would take
22	this amount of time, this is what needs to be
23	done, and if the work, if you can't complete
24	the work within that ceiling, you will still
25	be required to complete it, but at cost, but

Presolicitation Conference
 you will not receive an additional fee.

So of course we will have to pay you for any costs that are incurred, but an additional fee will not be issued.

And for the subcontractor pool, as

Shaheer said earlier, this is the Brooks Act,
so the prime contractor, who comes up with a
design, they're not allowed to do the
construction under the contract, so we set
aside a subcontracting pool for that
subcontractor, so if -- that's pretty much it.
That's pretty much it for the subcontracting
pool. It's just a, for your subcontracting,
the construction part of the contract.

Rayna is going to come up and go over the, basically, just the basic periods and option periods, and after she's done we're going to answer the questions that you've already submitted.

MS. BROWN: Okay. What we have up here is under, I think, section E of the RFP, and

23	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt it just basically lists what our bid
24	quantities are under the term form, the
25	completion and the subpool amount. This is

Presolicitation Conference for the full and open procurement, with the base year and the option period.

The next one is for the set-asides. I would like to bring to your attention that there has been a correction made. Previously there were some numbers listed in the base quantity for completion in the subpool, and so there have been corrections made, and you'll also see that in the final RFP.

The next thing I just kind of wanted to mention is the thing about security background checks. You might see some language in the contract about that, and that is not required of everybody at every situation. It's on a --how would you say?

MS. McELRATH: Case by case.

MS. BROWN: It's on a case-by-case basis, and you'll be told when that background check will need to be conducted. We've had several questions about this, and so we wanted to bring to your attention that, in terms of competing on either of the procurements, firms may team on more than one of the three procurements, but you do so at your own risk,

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	so that's a business decision you have to
3	make.
4	And based on the FAR 36.6 the source
5	selection official, which we call the SSO, has
6	the discretion to move to the next firm to
7	preserve the government's needs for
8	alternative sources, so that's a decision that
9	you can make, but you are not prohibited from
10	bidding on multiple solicitations.
11	This is just a brief overview of the
12	Brooks Act source selection process. The
13	Brooks Act of 1972 procedures apply if a
14	statement of work that has substantial or
15	dominant, I mean, to a dominant extent
16	specifies performance or approval by a
17	registered or licensed architect or engineer.
18	CERCLA section 118(e) states that the
19	Brooks Act procedures shall be used to select
20	the RAC contractors.
21	And a couple of the highlights are that
22	we make a public announcement of the
23	government's needs, which we've done in the
24	synopsis as well as on EPA's web site; that we
25	select the most skilled and responsible

Pre Solicitation Conference. txt

Presolicitation Conference
architect and engineers, which we do by
looking first only at the technical proposals
without even considering costs; and then that
we rank ranking and the selection criteria
are based on professional qualifications, not
on fee or price.

So we do those things, and we don't get to the cost proposal until after we've gotten down, brought it down to like the highest ranked firms. Okay, next I'm just going to go over the major steps of the A&E process that gets us down to ultimately awarding a contract.

The first thing that we do is establish an Architect and Engineer Evaluation Board, or, if we are going to have two boards, one board will serve for the full and open competition and then we'll have a separate board that will serve for the set-asides, and that board will serve for the selection of both the 8(a) and the SDV contracts. And we just want to emphasize that those boards are made up of very highly qualified technical members here at EPA.

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	Next, you know, of course we'll request
3	the SF330s be our formal final RFP, and then Page 30

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt those SF330s will come into headquarters, to Benita, and we'll evaluate them for responsiveness, you know, making sure you met the deadline, making sure that you adhere to all the proposal instructions. And then those proposals will be forwarded to the board and the board will evaluate them against the evaluation criteria stated in section M under evaluation factors for award as well as evaluation and scoring.

And upon their evaluation the board will form what is termed as a short list, and this comes out of the FAR, and in that short list the FAR states that it has to have at least three firms on it.

And just to kind of bring some clarification, for the set-aside procurement there will be two short lists. Each of them will adhere to the minimum, I mean, the required three firms per short list, so there are actually two short lists and the set-aside, because even though they're under

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	one solicitation they're being treated as two
3	distinctly different procurements.
4	And what's not on the slide is the fact
5	that EPA headquarters or selection official
6	has to approve the short list before we can Page 31

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt proceed to discussions, if necessary, or oral presentations, and the SSO has to also approve the final list before we proceed to negotiation.

The next thing, of course, it says the discussions if necessary and oral presentations. We did note here that the government is considering not conducting oral presentations for the set-aside procurement. A decision will probably be made by the time we post the final RFP whether or not EPA will decide to do that.

Okay, and we will provide the firms that are on the short list, as well as the firms that we will invite to oral, and then after orals are conducted the board will determine whether or not those orals positively or adversely impacted the weightings that were established on the short list, and then they

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	will form what is called a final selection
3	list.
4	That final selection list will rank all
5	of the firms from the highest to the lowest,
6	and that list once again goes to the SSO for
7	approval before we go into negotiation.
8	And also, I don't think I mentioned
9	this, but the oral presentations, the ones Page 32

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt

that we'll be conducting will be held at the

Region 2 office, and oral presentation

instructions and procedures, like the

scenario, will be shared will those on the

short list, who will be invited to the oral

presentation.

Next, from the final selection list the

Next, from the final selection list the top firms that we will enter into negotiations with will be requested to submit a cost proposal, a COI plan, a draft uniform federal policy and quality assurance project plan, and the reps and certs.

And I don't know if I -- okay, I mentioned that already, and then we'll enter into negotiations. And we'll try to negotiate a fair and reasonable price based on an

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	accurate proposal, something that is fair and
3	reasonable for both parties, for the
4	government as well as for the contractors.
5	Based on that, if we can negotiate a
6	fair and reasonable contract an award will be
7	made. If we cannot, however, according to the
8	FAR we will terminate those negotiations and
9	begin negotiations with the next firm on the
10	final selection list.
11	Also at that time when we get all these
12	additional submittals the COO will make a

Page 33

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt determination about responsibility, which includes like an adequate approved accounting system, so those are some of the things that will be looked at at that juncture.

This is evaluation criteria and scoring, and this is what's in section M of the RFP, and you can see the percentage distribution between the evaluation criteria. The next thing is a very useful matrix that EPA put together to kind of help you better understand where EPA is going to find its information to evaluate you on.

There have been some updates made to the

Presolicitation Conference

matrix and some corrections that will be made,
but they will be captured in the final RFP.

Like this slide incorrectly states that subcontracting plan is -- I don't know, this might not be clear, but the subcontracting plan will be requested with the SF330s; however, often they're only requested of large businesses, so on the full and open competition Small Businesses are able to bid on that competition, but they will not be required to submit the subcontracting plan.

It will only be the large businesses that are required to submit this plan with their FS330s. We'll make sure that this is Page 34

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt clarified in our proposal instructions in the final RFP. And that statement about the subcontracting plan for the final selection has been corrected as submitted with the SF330.

Here is just the schedule. It is tentative and subject to change if necessary, but it just kind of highlights some of the pertinent dates as it pertains to your interests, so these are the dates.

Presolicitation Conference

We are slightly staggering the full and open process and award from the set-aside, where -- I think it's kind of staggered by two weeks, for a couple of reasons:

One, because we didn't want to have all the boards away from the office to get the normal work done, as well as it provides some opportunity for the Small Businesses to give them a little more time in preparing their proposals.

And, once again, these slides will be made available online, so you'll be able to get all these dates. That conclude the process of bidding.

MS. JACKSON: Okay, the questions that we have. Now, these are the ones that you guys sent to us earlier from the, after you Page 35

Pre Solicitation Conference. txt

had a chance to look at this draft RFP, and on
this, on the screen here, we cut it down some.

I know all of you can't see it, but I'm going
to try to read the complete questions. The
first question was:

"According to the RFP, the maximum
subpool amount for each of the base and option

Presolicitation Conference
periods according to the RFP, the maximum
subpool amount for each of the base and option
periods is 12.5 million.
"Since many infield sites are awaiting
remedial action funding, the maximum subpool
amount would seem to limit the number of RAs
that can be performed under this contract.
Will consideration be given to increasing the

for additional remedial actions under the contract?"

So we've discussed this with the program office here, and the answer was no. Subpool

amounts are appropriate and are approximately

subpool amount in order to allow the potential

16 25 percent of the contract value.

And a reminder, we still have additional cards here if you guys want to write down some more questions for this afternoon.

The next one is:

21 "The amount of space available in the Page 36

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt

SF330, section F, block 25, a brief

description of project and revenue for this

contract, may be inadequate to describe some

large, complex projects. Please specify

Presolicitation Conference
instead a 20-page limit for the entirety of
section F so that we may allocate additional
pages to describe the most complex projects."

So the answer. The following change is made to the instructions for section F.

Offerors shall follow the numbering and content of the section F form. Offerors may use up to two pages for section F and may expand it to fill the two pages as they feel appropriate. This means that each project in section F may use up to two pages.

The next one. "Part of the proposal instructions for the SF330 section E states, page 1 of the section E form page which may be modified as follows, offerors can expand section 19, relevant projects, to allow three rather than five projects. If that is done a second page is allowed for up to two additional projects.

"The spacing for each project on page 2 shall be equivalent to the space allotted for each project on page 1. These instructions are not sufficiently flexible to allow for Page 37

Presolicitation Conference
through 18 to discuss a key person's
education, professional registrations and
other qualifications, including relevant
training, nor do the instructions allow for
using block 19 to describe fewer than five of
the key person's projects within the allotted
space.

"Please modify the instructions quoted above so that they will enable offerors to use the allotted two pages to provide a resume that is tailored to most effectively present each person's relevant qualifications and experience."

The answer is the following change is made to instructions for section E, part 19: Offerors shall follow the numbering and content of the section E form. Offerors may use up to two pages for section E and may expend it to fill those two pages as they feel appropriate. This means that each resume in section E may use up to two pages.

The next one is: "Page L-3 of 10, section L.8 suggests limiting the number of contracts to 20 in the past two years.

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	Offerors shall submit five contracts per team
3	member for past performance references.
4	"All contracts must either have been
5	completed in the last three years or, if
6	ongoing, have been in existence for at least
7	six months. Prime references will be weighted
8	60 percent and combined team subcontractor
9	references will be weighted 40 percent."
10	The next question: "Page L-9 of 10
11	section L.14 requires submittal of a
12	subcontracting plan. Please clarify if that
13	is submitted as an additional attachment."
14	I think Rayna just went over that. Yes.
15	The subcontracting plan has been added as an
16	additional attachment to the SF330. This
17	requirement only applies to large businesses
18	proposing under the full and open competition.
19	Statement of work, page 2 indicates
20	contractor may be tasked to provide
21	remediation services activities within Mexico
22	or Canada. Please verify. This is within the
23	scope of the statement of work, but Region 2
24	does not anticipate ordering any work in
25	Mexico or Canada.

2	You have to excuse me. I didn't know I
3	was going to have to read off the screen. I
4	don't have my glasses.
5	"Number seven, please clarify number of
6	copies to be submitted as printed and
7	electronic." We ask for eight copies hard
8	copy, and we need one CD-ROM.
9	"RFP L. 12 (procedures for participation
10	in the EPA mentor-protégé program) indicates
11	that if the offeror is proposing an EPA
12	mentor-protégé agreement a 10-page max
13	application should be included with the
14	proposal.
15	"The SF330/proposal instructions for
16	section H indicate a three-page max
17	attachment, including any proposal to
18	participate in the EP mentor-protégé program.
19	Are these two separate attachments?"
20	The answer: Offerors submitting an
21	application for the mentor-protégé program
22	shall follow the instructions in L.12. Only
23	one attachment is required.
24	The next question: "RFP L.4 (evaluation
25	criterion for capacity to accomplish the work

l	Presolicitation Conference
2	in the required time) indicates evaluation of
3	the offeror's commitment to utilizing Small
1	Business firms, including participation in the
	Page 40

5	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt agency's mentor-protégé program.
6	"Does this mean that all other Small
7	Business, slash, Small Disadvantaged Business
8	commitments factors being equal an offeror
9	participating in the EPA mentor-protégé
10	program will be scored higher than offerors
11	not participating?" The answer is yes.
12	Number 10: "Per RFP L.8 (past
13	performance information), will past
14	performance questionnaires be requested for
15	the 10 projects included in section F? Will
16	the questions for additional projects be
17	requested or permissible?"
18	The answer is: See the answer to
19	question four. I don't have it right here,
20	so oh, thank you.
21	So the answer is, to question four was:
22	Offerors shall submit five contracts per team
23	member for past performance references, and
24	all contracts must either have been completed

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	been in existence for at least six months.
3	"Per RFP L.17 (conflict of interest
4	plan) and the SF330 proposal instructions, we
5	understand that the conflict of interest plan
5	will be required of the final selected
7	offeror. Per RFP L.6 (organizational conflict
	Page 41

in the last three years or, if ongoing, have

8	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt of interest notification), an organizational
9	conflict of interest certification or
10	disclosure statement is required with the
11	proposal. Could you clarify what offerors are
12	required to submit with their proposals."
13	The answer is: L.6 will be deleted.
14	Okay, number 12: "The statement of work
15	lists three work areas, fund-lead, enforcement
16	support and other technical assistance.
17	Please provide the Region's best estimate of
18	the percent distribution of work assignments
19	among the three work areas that may be issued
20	under the new RAC2 contract and the
21	distribution of work assignments under the
22	current RAC contract."
23	Okay, so Shaheer went over this during
24	his presentation, so we're referring you back
25	to his presentation. That will be posted

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	online as well.
3	The next question: "Fund-lead work area
4	activities include but are not limited to
5	remedial action, construction support, long-
6	term response actions, non-time-critical
7	removal support, non-time-critical removal
8	action, and post-construction remedial action.
9	"Enforcement support work area
10	activities include but are not limited to RA
	Page 42

11	oversight, removal oversight, long-term
12	response oversight, O&M oversight and post-
13	construction RA oversight.
14	"Please provide the Region's best
15	estimate of the percent distribution of
16	construction-related work assignments and
17	associated dollar amounts of each assignment
18	type that may be issued under the new RAC2
19	contracts and the distribution and associated
20	dollar amounts of construction-related work
21	assignments under the current RAC contract."
22	Again I refer you to Shaheer's
23	presentation.
24	Number 14: "The available subpool
25	dollars for both the base period and the

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	option period is \$12,500,000 each, for a total
3	of \$25 million. Will all remedial action
4	subcontractor dollars performed under the RAC2
5	contract come out of this total 25 million
6	subpool ?"
7	The answer is yes.
8	"Section H, special contract
9	requirements, lists clauses H.46, performance
10	and payment bonds, and H. 47, advance agreement
11	on bonding. Must the prime contractor have
12	the capability to issue such bonds, or may a
13	team subissue such bonds?"

14	The answer is, the prime contractor must
15	ensure that performance and payment bonds are
16	acquired by its construction contractors.
17	"What are the target goals that the full
18	and open RAC2 contractor must meet for
19	participation by Small Business, Small
20	Disadvantaged Business, Small Disadvantaged
21	it should be Small Disabled Veteran Owned
22	Business or any other Small Business
23	cl assi fi cati ons?
24	So we've answered it here. For the
25	Small Business it's 50 percent, Small

2	Disadvantaged is 20 percent, Women Owned Small
3	Busi nesses, 7.5 percent, HUBZone busi nesses
4	three percent, and Service Disabled Veteran
5	Owned Small Businesses three percent.
6	Next question is: "Is it a requirement
7	in the Region 2 RAC2 RFP that the prime
8	contractor include a mentor-protégé firm as
9	part of their team?"
10	No, it's not.
11	Next: "The statement of work did not
12	specifically address any type of assignment
13	that might include identification of
14	potentially responsible parties, PRPs. Are we
15	correct in assuming that the selected RAC2
16	contractors will not be asked to conduct PRP
	Dana 44

Presolicitation Conference

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt searches and identify PRPs?" The answe 17 The answer is 18 yes, that is a correct assumption. **PRP** 19 searches are not part of the RAC. 20 Next: "The general requirements 21 paragraph of the statement of work includes a statement that the RAC2 contract may be used 22 23 to support activities under the Brownfields

estimate of the type and associated dollar

Please provide the Region's best

ini ti ati ve.

24

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19 50

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	amount of work assignments that may be issued
3	under the RAC2 contract related to Brownfields
4	si tes. "
5	Again I'll refer you back to the
6	presentation given earlier by Shaheer. The
7	requirement for Brownfields support under
8	these contracts will be minimal.
9	"If a company is qualified as an 8(a)
10	and Service Disabled Vet Owned Small Business
11	as per the exception for NAICS 562910, does it

The answer here is no, the offeror is not expected to submit two sets of proposals.

The offeror must clearedly identify the procurements as to which it is responding.

need to submit separate SF330s, one for the

8(a) and one for the Service Disabled?"

This is the last question: "Could or would a firm be selected that qualifies as

20	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt both 8(a) and Service Disabled Vet to
21	participate in the oral presentations twice?
22	Or how does the agency propose to handle a
23	firm to be considered for either SDV or
24	set-asi de contract opportuni ti es?"
25	The answer: An offeror bidding on both

1 Presolicitation Conference 2 the 8(a) and the Service Disabled contracts 3 will only be expected to participate in one oral presentation, if required. 4 That's it for the previous 5 0kay. 6 questions that we've received, so we'll go 7 around the room now and select the index 8 cards. Do you guys have any questions? And 9 we will break for about 10, 20 now. Let's go 10 ahead and collect the cards and see how many 11 questions we have. It will determine how long 12 we need to break for. If you, did you, is there anyone out 13 there who needs additional cards? 14 15 (Recess taken.) 16 MS. JACKSON: I think we're about ready 17 to get started. Would everybody be seated, 18 pl ease. 19 There are a few questions that we 20 weren't able to answer today, and I just want to clarify, just to make sure you guys know 21

that these are preliminary answers, you know,

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt because we only had an hour, and otherwise it would have taken a little longer, but we came up with answers, and some of them we may have

Presolicitation Conference to go back and update, but we'll be posting the questions and answers online.

The question one, which is: "Must the EPA mentor-protégé program be bid as a joint venture? Or can it be bid as a team to stay small under the standard size?"

Basically this is pretty much a business decision for you guys, but what we've decided, since -- we think we're going to need more consultation with our Small Business Office to answer all of the questions as it relates to the mentor-protégé program, so I think we had about three or four questions regarding that program, so we will have to get back with you on answers for those.

And question number two, this is another one that we will also need clarification on, but the question was: "Can our SF330 include more than one application for participation in the EPA mentor-protégé program? Can we have several EPA protégés on this contract?"

We will have to, like I said earlier, we have to contact our Small Business Office for the correct answer on this one.

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	I think the first three questions all, I
3	mean, we were trying to lump them all
4	together, but the third one is: "Could you
5	please review again your answers to the
6	questions pertaining to the mentor-protégé
7	program." We will get back with you on that.
8	Okay, question number four: "If a
9	Service Disabled Vet or 8(a) has to do a
10	minimum of 50 percent of the work required,
11	will they be required to submit more than
12	50 percent of the key resumes?
13	The first part of this question, the
14	main thing that you need to remember in
15	regarding to the amount of work, the clause in
16	the RFP states that the prime contractor has
17	to perform 51 percent of the work, and we ask
18	that you submit resumes for at least three of
19	your key personnel, and that would be the
20	program manager, the financial manager and the
21	quality assurance manager.
22	The second part of that question relates
23	to the mentor-protégé program, so we'll have
24	to get back with you on that one.
25	Number five: "Does a joint venture that

Pre Solicitation Conference. txt 1 Presolicitation Conference 2 is proposed by a large and small team have to 3 be approved by the Small Business 4 Administration prior to the due date?" 5 And the answer to that is yes, as long as it's a genuine joint venture it would have 6 7 to be approved, but we will have to get the 8 accurate answer from the Small Business 9 Administration. My panel, please jump in if 10 you guys want to have anything to add. Okay, number six: "Will there be a 11 12 minimum percentage required for Service Disabled Vets Owned Small Business Labor?" 13 14 Yes, the answer is 51 percent. It's 15 51 percent. 16 I think once we -- Rayna was trying to 17 type up the answers, and we pretty much 18 stopped there, so he's going to be typing them 19 up, and then we're also going to have to have, 20 we want to give you a more clear answer, so she did type up all of the questions. 21 22 Number seven: "Will section 2 be included in the page count?" This is in 23

Basically each offeror shall submit part

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	two of the SF330 as specified on the form, and
3	there is not an overall limitation of the

reference to the FS330.

24

	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt
4	proposal, but we did specify certain page
5	limits for certain parts, which also, which is
6	listed in the proposal instructions.
7	Number eight: "Can introducing
8	materials be, introductory materials be
9	included in sections E or F? For example,
10	matrix of resumes and matrix of projects,
11	respecti vel y. "
12	No, that's not necessary.
13	Number nine: "In reference to bonds, so
14	the Service Disabled Vets or 8(a) only have to
15	have a construction contractors post P&P
16	bonds. Do they post bonds to the EPA or to
17	the contractor?"
18	All of the contractors are required to
19	follow the proposal instructions in regards to
20	the P&P requirement.
21	Number 10: "Will a joint venture
22	agreement with a large business greater than
23	500 employees bring the Service Disabled Vet
24	or 8(a) into a large business category? Will

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	based on the joint venture's participants?"
3	We just decided basically that would
4	have to be a business decision on your part
5	but just remember that whoever is the prime
6	that they will be required to perform Page 50

the SBA make the final size determination

	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt
7	51 percent of the work, so which means that
8	the, if you are the prime, if you're large,
9	then you will be categorized probably out of
10	the offer, but we will clarify that with our
11	Small Business Office.
12	Number 11: "Participation of all terms
13	is at your own risk and is a business
14	decision. Can you cite one contract where the
15	same team has been reversed/flipped and
16	resulted in a win on both contracts?"
17	We were kind of confused with this
18	question. Add the second part will be: "Will
19	the Service Disabled Vets or 8(a) contracts be
20	awarded prior to the large, considering no
21	Service Disabled Vet or 8(a) currently
22	exists?"
23	Well, this would basically be at the
24	discretion of the source selection officer in
25	making her decision, and we, I know we've

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	talked about this earlier in the presentation.
3	I think Rayna covered that part. I can't
4	remember which slide number. Can you remember
5	exactly which area you said?
6	MS. BROWN: It was in one of the steps.
7	MS. JACKSON: But if you refer back to
8	the presentation that we'll be posting, we did
9	cover that part about the SSO will be making a

	Pre Sorrei tatron conference, txt
10	decision on how to handle that.
11	Number 12: "There was mention if
12	contractors' selection based on prior specific
13	size experience in Region 2. How does this
14	promote, increase competition on the full and
15	open for firms/teams without prior MPA
16	experience in Region 2?"
17	And the second part of it: "Will it
18	encourage oral presentations for the set-aside
19	contractor so that WPA may have the
20	opportunity to get to know teams which they
21	may not be familiar with?"
22	For the second part, we will reconsider
23	or we haven't made a final decision yet for
24	the oral presentations for the small

businesses. We will discuss that with the

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	project officer.
3	It will be his decision, but in regards
4	to the first part, Shaheer is going to come up
5	a little later, because there were several
6	questions that relate back to his presentation
7	that he had earlier. He will answer the part
8	about the Region 2 program
9	Do you want to do it now, Shaheer?
10	MR. ALVI: There is a difference in
11	there, in the I'll just refer to that, if
12	you read the poster RFP, there is a difference Page 52

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt

there. If you read that particular paragraph,
section -- is that section M? There is a
requirement that you must have the Region,
there is a reference to Region 2 experience,
and we have also used elsewhere.

So that is not limited to, either to
experience -- you can have experience
elsewhere which is particularly relevant to
what we do here in Region 2, for example, if
you have a PCB experience which is in
Pennsylvania or Connecticut, which is as good

as here, so read that carefully.

We are not limiting your experience to

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	within the boundaries of Region 2. We are
3	more emphasizing you should be able to do the
4	bulk, the kind of work that we do, and I've
5	given you past examples of that, and you can
6	go to our web site, the EPA web site, and you
7	can find your way to the NPL listing.
8	Each site has a description, what are
9	the problems and what work was done, and you
10	will have a very powerful idea of Region 2,
11	the kinds of problems.
12	MS. JACKSON: Number 13: "Will EPA
13	establish a work assignment specifically for
14	program management activities?" The answer is
15	no, it will not. The second part of this is Page 53

Pre Solicitation Conference. txt "If yes" -- but the answer is no. 16 17 Number 14: "In the case of RD/RA, after an RD please describe the bidding and 18 subcontracting process, for example, who 19 20 advertises jobs, who evaluates contractors, 21 who selects and who holds the construction 22 subcontract? What is EPA's role versus AE's 23 role in the above process?" 24 All of this responsibility is for the

prime. The prime is responsible for these

25

18

60

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	subcontractors, so you would have to do the
3	advertisement for your subcontracts.
4	You would have to evaluate, and the only
5	thing, the only thing that would come back to
6	the CO is we would have to do a consent
7	package. You will present a consent package
8	and they will approve it.
9	Number 15: "On the 8(a) set-aside
10	contract, can the 8(a) prime contractor
11	subcontract the design services and perform
12	the construction of remedies under the Brooks
13	Act? Or vice versa?" Did you want to talk
14	about this again? The answer is no, but I
15	think
16	MR. ALVI: The answer is no, but I will
17	explain more later.

The answer is no, but he

Page 54

MS. JACKSON:

	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt
19	will elaborate on that a little later.
20	Number 16: "Under the 8(a) can the
21	prime contractor perform both the design and
22	construction services?"
23	Again the answer is no.
24	Number 17: "Of the funding limits
25	listed in the RFP, what is a realistic value

ı	Presorrer tatron conference
2	of funding in Region 2, considering the
3	government's funding issues?"
4	This is, Shaheer is going to answer
5	this, but number 18 he will also cover, which
6	states: "Please read the five criteria listed
7	on the sample work allocation matrix slide
8	that was shown this morning," and that was one
9	of Shaheer's slides, so he's going to go over
10	that for you.
11	MR. ALVI: Do you want to do it now?
12	Or
13	MS. JACKSON: Yes.
14	MR. ALVI: Okay. No, I just wanted to
15	make Benita has already answered this.
16	This is the Brooks Act procurement, and
17	therefore you can only do the 8(a) and only
18	perform the design services and provide the
19	construction oversight, as I have mentioned
20	earlier in my presentation.
21	You cannot do the prime, the prime Page 55

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt
cannot do the construction. That's a
violation of the FAR, Part 49, so I think that
clarifies the point that, no, the first, the
answer to the question number 15 is no.

Presolicitation Conference

Seventeen? Of the funding limits listed in the RFP, what is the realistic value of funding in Region 2, considering government's funding issues?"

No one can predict what the future will look like. I have given you an idea that traditionally Region 2 has received like 30 percent of the national Superfund budget, which is around \$130 and \$140 million.

Most of it is construction, as Bill McCabe showed yesterday, so we have used that funding to access the RACs contract and mostly for the RI/FS. I have also shown, and we can put that slide back on later on, to show you the distribution of the work among the RI/FS, the RA oversight, the remedial design and this is on the pie chart.

So that's all I can predict, but I do not know, no one knows, what the funding situation will be in the future and what the national priorities will be, because they change also with the administration and with a whole bunch of other factors that come into Page 56

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt play, but so far we have done the cleanups,

Presolicitation Conference
and based on that you can make your own
conjecture, and I can only tell you what has
happened in the past, so that is my answer.

Number 18? This is the pie chart, yes. This shows the kind of work that we have done under the RACs contracts, and that's all I can tell you, because we have not shown the dollar value, because there are a whole bunch of projects still going on.

We have two numbers here, the amount of money that we have obligated to the contract, which is much higher than what they have actually incurred in the past years.

So it's still, there is a year left in the contract, and we have the major, you know, the summer and the spring and the fall where we are, most of the field work basically is, and the field work for the RI/FS is roughly 30 percent of the total budget.

So therefore we would not put -basically we did not put the numbers in there,
but can give you an idea of the kind of work
that we have done in the RACs contracts.
That's all I can tell you.

Presolicitation Conference

And the last one. Okay, this is the work assignment allocation criteria, and I believe the question was that they wanted to read aloud. The contractor's performance is the number one criteria, then the conflict of interest screening, the available capacity of the contract, and I will give you specific examples to illustrate the point, the site-specific knowledge and experience and related special knowledge and experience.

For example, if I were at \$10 million of remedial action, as I have shown you earlier, a site like Hudson River or the Passaic River, where the RI/FS on Hudson River we spent close to \$25 million, then of course that kind of site we know up front we are not going to assign to the set-aside contracts, but if it's a small site we are going to completely, we are going to ask the required COI and work assignment technical qualifications.

So we will give a chance to all of the four contractors, and we will also consider whether we have met the minimum, because there are minimums of the contracts.

2	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt We try to meet them, and then, say for
3	example, this is the remedial action
4	oversight, which we know is not going to cost
5	more than \$100,000 a year then, and if it's a
6	situation that we had met the minimum with the
7	three contractors and the fourth contractor is
8	not permitted, we will simply go and assign it
9	to the fourth one.
10	But if it's a situation where we have
11	met the minimum with all of them, the 100,000,
12	then we send the, then we'll send the work
13	assignment technical qualification and COI

met the minimum with all of them, the 100,000, then we send the, then we'll send the work assignment technical qualification and COI check. It's all four, and then they have to come back and show us the best, you know, their best proposal within, you know, two or three digits, that -- and addressing all those things, based on that, we will make an evaluation, and then we will assign it to the best among the four, without regard to the small or a large.

If it's a large size, as I stated earlier, and it will result in a \$10 million remedial action of an RI/FS which is going to go on at least for six or seven years, then we

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	know that the set-aside cannot do that job, so
3	we will only go to the large one.
4	And if it's a smaller job so it
	Page 59

5	depends on the situation, that this is the way
6	we have done it in the past and that's the way
7	we intend to do it in the future. We will be
8	very inclusive, very fair, and we will explain
9	it to you that why we assigned a particular
10	job to certain contractor. Okay.
11	MS. JACKSON: Number 19: "Does EPA have
12	a plan on how they intend to use the large
13	business versus 8(a), the Service Disabled
14	contractors, for example, what type of work
15	assignments will go to each?"
16	And I think Shaheer just explained that
17	as well.
18	MR. ALVI: I already explained this.
19	MS. JACKSON: Number 20: "If a business
20	is certified under multiple Small Business
21	categories, will inclusion of that firm meet
22	multiple set-aside categories?" And the
23	second part: "Is it possible to obtain a
24	contract list for the primes who attended the

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	On the second part, we will provide you
3	with the web site, because they provided us, I
4	think they provided a web site. We will be
5	posting that list from yesterday's attendance.
5	MR. SCHELLER: It would be, yes, the
7	agency would get credit for multiple
	Page 60

Small Business network meeting yesterday."

8	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt categories.
9	MS. JACKSON: The agency would receive
10	credit for multiple categories. The answer to
11	the first part of number 20 is yes, the agency
12	will receive credit for the multiple
13	categori es.
14	Number 21: "Will we be able to
15	highlight the team's past performance in
16	projects section?"
17	That's basically your decision, however
18	you you know, if you determine that you
19	need to highlight some of the performance
20	team, that's fine.
21	MR. SCHELLER: Five references, the
22	prime and each of the subs.
23	MS. JACKSON: And one of the questions
24	is more I think we highlighted, made the
25	change, so we'll be submitting five past

'	Fresorrer tation contenence
2	performance references and five for the subs.
3	I think it's five and five. It's in one of
4	the earlier questions.
5	Number 22: "Does the subcontracting
6	pool money include other services besides
7	construction, such as drillers, surveyors,
8	labs, cultural resource surveys and specialty
9	subcontractors?"
10	Keith is going to hit me with that one.
	Page 61

	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt
11	He corrected me on one of my responses this
12	morning on the subcontractor part. \ensuremath{I} covered
13	it this morning, but Keith told me I didn't
14	cover it enough.
15	MR. MONCINO: Do you want to address it
16	agai n?
17	MR. ALVI: Sure. Yeah. The question
18	is and the answer is, yes, the subpool
19	dollars include shared during the RI/FS. You
20	need the driller, the service I'm sorry
21	the surveyors, and the sampler. I mean, you
22	have to do all kinds of investigation, and you
23	need subcontracting services for that.
24	That money is put, set aside in the
25	subpool, and if you happen to do the remedial

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	action as well, that money is also included,
3	so for the large businesses we have set aside
4	the pool for \$25 million, and that includes
5	all the services that you need that you cannot
6	provide yourself, or you have to meet the
7	set-aside part, which Benita projected this
8	morning, you know, that we treat it like
9	50 percent of your subpool dollars would have
10	to go to the Small Business, the Small
11	Disadvantaged and a whole bunch of categories,
12	so yes, the money would be used for all those
13	services which are provided.

14	MS. JACKSON: Number 23: "Page 3-5 of
15	five under additional attachments number
16	three, 'Offerors shall provide a Quality
17	Management Plan,' is this QMP required with
18	the proposal? Or will it be required just for
19	the final selected firms?"
20	It will be required with the proposal.
21	And number 24: "Attachment three,
22	section F, page 3-3 of five, where are the
23	definitions of RI/FS, RD, RA work areas? For
24	example, one man's RI/RF is another man's site
25	assessment, et cetera."

!	Fresorrer tation contendice
2	We will, I will provide you with a link
3	to the OSWER office, which is the Office of
4	Solid Waste and Emergency Response. I will
5	put the link on the page, and they're the
6	office who they have templates for all of
7	the statements of work and the work inventory
8	and everything, and they have definitions in
9	there of all of the different.
10	Yes, sir?
11	AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's my question. May
12	I follow up on it? Because
13	MS. JACKSON: Speak Loudly.
14	AUDIENCE MEMBER: The issue is that,
15	well, let me just give you the exact the
16	task inventory in attachment eight has those
	Page 63

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt
same definitions I think you're going to give
us the links to, and then attachment nine uses
more generic definitions, and so we just -- I
don't want to -- I don't know which one to
look to.

MR. ALVI: I know what you're referring

 MR. ALVI: I know what you're referring to. The statement of work has given you the generic definitions, but if you are not familiar with the RI/FS process, because there

Presolicitation Conference are a lot of, a number of these set-aside companies you may not be familiar with, then there are all kinds of guiding documents on Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response web site, including like the, that confusion was that there is an RI/FS and there's a site assessment.

When the Superfund is very well defined there is a guidance document of how to conduct the RI/FS, and then there's a guidance document how to conduct the site assessment.

When you go on a regular facility, those two things mix up. They have a different definition. Here we are referring everything to the Superfund and the CERCLA and the SARA, which are the governing statutes, and that's why we are saying that we are going put the link, so you can go to the source, and OSWER

20	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt is the one who defines all those things, and
21	our statement of work is linked to the OSWER
22	defi ni ti ons.
23	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay, I appreciate
24	that, but then this is a task inventory, which
25	is 50 or 60 pages of very detailed

Presolicitation Conference

2	definitions, and now is this set of
3	definitions then going to be, now are we going
4	to incorporate those as definitions into this
5	proposal?
6	MR. ALVI: No, we are not. I'm saying
7	that you follow this.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER: This attachment?
9	MR. ALVI: Yes. But if you, for your
10	education or for your information, if you want
11	to go, if you have never conducted an RI/FS
12	and you are an 8(a), for example, then you can
13	go to the OSWER site and you can educate
14	yourself how to conduct an RI/FS. That's all
15	I'm saying, but this is your guiding document.
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Then one final follow-
17	up question about this whole process. Can we
18	ask the agency to consider changing, lowering
19	those what do they call it? similar size
20	standards under, in that, with that
21	attachment, three, page 3-3 of five?
22	And the reason I'm asking it is that the
	Page 65

23	Pre Solicitation Conference.txt RA, the agency reviews the size standard for
24	the set-aside from 5 million to the open to
25	one million for the set-aside, but the RI and

Presolicitation Conference
everything else has been left at the same size
standard. I'd venture that even CDM has, you
know -- a million and a half dollar RI/FS is a
pretty comprehensive RI/FS.

I don't know how many of those, I don't know how many Service Disabled Vets are going to come up with a million and a half RI/FS.

MR. ALVI: Well, a million and a half is our low average, and, as I indicated about the average, I think our smaller RI/FS is now running to between one and one and a half million, medium RI/FS from 1.5 to about three and a half million, and the larger RI/FS, they go anywhere from three and a half to 20 million.

So those are the kinds of things that we have done here in the Region 2, and you have to consider all the other factors, the cost of living and all the other factors, the labor rates and things like that.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Right. If we can use this definition that you gave us, Exhibit 2, the task inventory, that certainly helps, gives you those bigger sized projects.

1	Presolicitation Conference
2	MR. ALVI: I think you should submit any
3	specific question and deal with that question.
4	AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Okay.
5	MS. JACKSON: This is the last question.
6	"What are laboratory requirements?"
7	We realize that we left that category
8	out of the draft RFP, but we will update it
9	and post it in the final RFP, and one of the
10	things I forgot to mention earlier was, you
11	know, everyone who asked the questions about
12	joint ventures and the Service Disabled Vets,
13	some of the same questions, if you referred to
14	FAR 52.219-27, it really helps you out to
15	define how the government or a Small Business,
16	helps you with the containment and, you know,
17	the joint ventures and all that, but we will
18	continue to answer those questions for you
19	which you've already posted.
20	These are our contact numbers, but a
21	quick note. We will also still be receiving
22	additional questions. We haven't set a
23	deadline yet before the final, so just keep
24	checking the web site for updates.
25	Hopefully we will have a final completed

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt Presolicitation Conference within, I think we had a date around the first week of June, maybe a little longer, depending on when people are taking vacations, but this is our contact information. If you have any questions, please contact me or Rayna Brown. Please do not go to the program office, and I think that will Rayna and Benita are procurement CO and CS. It's such a great turnaround, and we're looking forward to the great proposals you're going to submit. Thank you. (Appl ause.) (Time noted: 12:28 p.m.)

```
CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NEW YORK )

Page 68
```

4) ss.:
5	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)
6	
7	I, JAMES W. JOHNSON, a Registered
8	Professional Reporter and Notary Public within
9	and for the State of New York, do hereby
10	certify that the within is a true and accurate
11	transcript of the proceedings taken on Friday,
12	May 18, 2007.
13	I further certify that I am not related
14	to any of the parties to this action by blood
15	or marriage; and that I am in no way
16	interested in the outcome of this matter.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set
18	my hand this 1st day of June 2007.
19	
20	JAMES W. JOHNSON
21	Registration #01J05000925 Commission Expires 9/4/2010
22	COMMITSSION EXPITES 9/4/2010
23	
24	

Pre Solicitation Conference.txt